

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/067,208	09/067,208 04/28/1998		WILLIAM G. HOWARD	P-7860	9814	
27581	7590	09/13/2002				
MEDTRONIC, INC. 710 MEDTRONIC PARKWAY NE MS-LC340				EXAMINER .		
				CREPEAU, J	CREPEAU, JONATHAN	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-5604			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
				1745		
				DATE MAILED: 09/13/2002	DATE MAILED: 09/13/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

* 1.		lang 1
<del>2</del>	Application N .	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/067,208	HOWARD, WILLIAM G.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jonathan S. Crepeau	1745
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 23 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE terms on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	f to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10-17,28-35,37-44,46-53,55-6</u>	<u> 1 and 92-101</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10. Other:	,	

Application No.

Coatinuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/067,208

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Although the proposed amendments may reinsert language that was "inadvertently deleted," as Applicants assert, the amendments require further consideration and do not immediately place the application in condition for allowance. For example, as stated by the Examiner on the record, the proposed language is not believed to be supported by the parent '760 patent, and thus, the claims would again be subject to a rejection over the Takeuchi '717 patent.

Furthermore, Applicant's amendment is non-compliant under 37 CFR §1.121 because there is no clean copy of the claims.

Patrick Ryan Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700